

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Sacramento)

----

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

HERBERT LINDSEY BEY,

Defendant and Appellant.

C051363

(Super. Ct. No.  
05F05391)

Defendant Herbert Lindsey Bey was placed on probation for five years after entering a negotiated plea of no contest to possession of cocaine base. (Health & Saf. Code, § 11350, subd. (a).) The court imposed a \$200 restitution fine (Pen. Code, § 1202.4), required defendant to register as a narcotics offender (Health & Saf. Code, § 11590), imposed laboratory and program fees (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 11372.5, 11372.7), and imposed a court security fee (Pen. Code, § 1465.8, subd. (a)(1)). Defendant was ordered to serve one year in the county jail and was awarded 125 days of custody credit and 60 days of conduct credit.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief setting forth the facts of the case and, pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, requesting the court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error.

**DISPOSITION**

The judgment is affirmed.

\_\_\_\_\_  
RAYE, J.

We concur:

\_\_\_\_\_  
BLEASE, Acting P.J.

\_\_\_\_\_  
HULL, J.